Profillinie

lbe	reir	٠h٠

Onlineevaluationen_FB_Wiwi Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Dr. Sebastian Junge

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Change Management (16176) (Name der Umfrage)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

1. Support and Lecture Concept

1.1)	The students are supported well within the course	I disagree			l agree	n=33	mw=3.36
1.3)	The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well	I disagree		\rightarrow	l agree	n=33	mw=3.64
1.4)	The teaching materials used during the lecture (e.g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better	l disagree		-	l agree	n=33	mw=3.39
1.5)	The lecturer presents the material in an understandable way	l disagree			l agree	n=32	mw=3.50
1.6)	The lecturer clarifies the relevance of the material covered	I disagree		-	l agree	n=32	mw=3.34
2.	Interactivity and Study Progress Self-R	leview					
2.1)	The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course	l disagree			l agree	n=33	mw=3.64
2.2)	I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course	I disagree		} _	l agree	n=33	mw=3.76
2.3)	The lecturer motivates to ask questions and goes into detail about them	l disagree			l agree	n=33	mw=3.61
2.4)	In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis	I disagree			l agree	n=33	mw=2.64
3.	Research and Practice						
3.1)	The research context is considered (methods,	l diagaroo			l agree		
	developments, projects, results)	I disagree		· · · ∖ ·		n=33	mw=3.39
3.2)	developments, projects, results) The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.)	l disagree			l agree	n=33 n=33	mw=3.39 mw=3.73
	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples,	-			l agree l agree		
3.3)	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics	l disagree			-	n=33	mw=3.73
3.3)	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically	l disagree			-	n=33	mw=3.73
3.3)	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically	l disagree			-	n=33	mw=3.73
3.3)4.	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically Challenge and Scope	I disagree			l agree	n=33 n=33	mw=3.73 mw=3.52
 3.3) 4.1) 4.2) 	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically Challenge and Scope The degree of difficulty of the course is	I disagree I disagree much too low			I agree much too high	n=33 n=33	mw=3.73 mw=3.52 mw=3.39
 3.3) 4.1) 4.2) 4.3) 	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.) The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically Challenge and Scope The degree of difficulty of the course is The scope of course contents is	I disagree I disagree much too low			I agree much too high much too high	n=33 n=33 n=33 n=33	mw=3.73 mw=3.52 mw=3.39 mw=3.30

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1)	What grade do you assign the course in general?	very good (1)	-	insufficient (5)	n=33	mw=1.73
5.2)	I learned a lot in the course	I disagree		l agree	n=33	mw=3.36
5.3)	The course is structured clearly	I disagree	<u>/</u>	l agree	n=33	mw=3.18
5.4)	The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives	I disagree	/	l agree	n=32	mw=3.03